

To: Williams, Caroline[Williams.Caroline@epa.gov]
Cc: R8EISC[R8EISC@epa.gov]
From: Brogden, Rose
Sent: Mon 8/17/2015 5:20:35 PM
Subject: Fw: ... results on the samples ...Dr jack nyhan...Form submission from: Emergency Response to Gold King Mine Release Contact Us About Emergency Response to the Gold King Mine Release form

From: [Redacted] **Personal Email/Ex. 6**
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 5:19 AM
To: R8EISC
Subject: Re: ... results on the samples ... [Redacted] **Citizen Name/Ex. 6** ...Form submission from: Emergency Response to Gold King Mine Release Contact Us About Emergency Response to the Gold King Mine Release form

Please identify yourself.

[Redacted] **Citizen Name/Ex. 6**

Sent from my iPad

> On Aug 13, 2015, at 8:48 AM, R8EISC <R8EISC@epa.gov> wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> The US EPA has prepared the following information resource:
>
> EPA is committed to working closely with response agencies and state and local officials to ensure the safety of citizens, respond to concerns and to evaluate impact to water contaminated by the Gold King Mine Release. EPA is sharing information as quickly as possible with the public as experts work to evaluate any effects the spill may have on drinking water, public health, agriculture, fish and wildlife.
> Please see our website for the latest information: <http://www2.epa.gov/goldkingmine>
> Regular updates will be posted as they are available.
> EPA Hotline: 844-607-9700 (toll-free)
>
>
>
>

From: drupal_admin@epa.gov <drupal_admin@epa.gov> on behalf of EPA <drupal_admin@epa.gov>
> **Sent:** Thursday, August 13, 2015 4:09 AM
> **To:** R8EISC
> **Subject:** Form submission from: Emergency Response to Gold King Mine Release Contact Us About Emergency Response to the Gold King Mine Release form
>
> Submitted on 08/13/2015 12:09AM
> Submitted values are:
>
> **Name:** [Redacted] **Citizen Name/Ex. 6**
> **Email Address:** [Redacted] **Personal Email/Ex. 6**
> **Comments:**
> You guys promised that the results on the samples collected would be available
> today and they were not.
> There also is a fascinating story surfacing that the contaminants just fall
> out of the river water column and are no longer a problem. Of course you are

- > not sampling the bottom of the water column either, so do you want another
- > shot at credibility?

> **Personal Matters/Ex. 6** f